WO

Court.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	OND	ER OF DETERMION FENDING TRIAL
Aı	rmando Lopez-Agustina	Case Number:	11-6065M
present and w			was held on February 22, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
FINDINGS OF FACT I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		ears imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial S in the record. ICLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	nt will flee. ns will reasonably assure S REGARDING DETEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections fappeal. The dof the United Statement to the United Statement to the United Statement of	efendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the facility separate, to the extend a reasonable operates or on request of an attorney for the facility states or on request of an attorney for the facility should be supposed to the facility of the separate of the second second separate of the second second separate of the second sec	e Attorney General or his om persons awaiting or s portunity for private cons Government, the person i of an appearance in con ND THIRD PARTY REL etention order be filed wit	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.

DATED this 23rd day of February, 2011.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.